

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/872,333	Applicant(s) IBORRA ET AL.	
	Examiner Satish S. Rampuria	Art Unit 2191	

All Participants:

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(2) Ronald Craig Fish [28,843].

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2006

Time: 11:45 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No.

Claims discussed:

2,4 and 26.

Prior art documents discussed:

No.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to correct the 101 issues for claims 2, 4 and 26. Examiner suggests to delete [An] word in the line 1 of the claims and add "A computer" to make more readable for computer apparatus. Applicant has granted the changes. For details see the Examiner's amendment..